



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/395,207	09/14/1999	SUNSHIN AN	K-105	5612	
34610 7	7590 07/08/2004		EXAMINER		
FLESHNER & KIM, LLP			WON, MICHAEL YOUNG		
P.O. BOX 221 CHANTILLY,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2155	9.3	
			DATE MAILED: 07/08/2004	20	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/395,207	AN ET AL.	fr				
Supplemental Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Michael Y Won	2155					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addi	ress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of t rill apply and will expire SIX (6) Mi cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  hirty (30) days will be considered timely.  ONTHS from the mailing date of this com  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.				
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 M	av 2004.						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	***						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	·	• • •	` '				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in ity documents have been I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National S	tage				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-1 	52)				

Art Unit: 2155

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-15, 17, and 18 are pending with this action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-14, 15, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yata et al. ("ATM Transport Network Operation System Based on Object Oriented Technologies", NTT Transmission Systems Laboratories, IEEE 1994 pgs.838-842) in view of Ismael et al. (US 6134581 A).

### <u>Independent:</u>

As per claim 1, Yata teaches of a network management system (see abstract), comprising: a management system kernel that provides management systems with a run-time environment (see pg.838, Fig.1; abstract; and pg.839, section 2.2. TNMS Kernel); and a managed object generation environment that provides a development environment for managing applications (see pg.838, Fig.1 and section 2.1.1. GDMO Editor), wherein the management system kernel can at least one of dynamically add

Art Unit: 2155

and dynamically modify managed object (MO) information (see pg.838, Fig.1 and pg.839 section 2.2. TNMS Kernel: "is a software library that realizes the functions needed for transport network OpS application... The structure allows represent calling and called relationships between library modules.").

Yata does not explicitly teach that this adding and modifying is based upon an external meta file (EMM) from the managed object generation environment without interrupting an operation of the network management system. Ismael teaches that this adding and modifying is based upon an external meta file (EMM) from the managed object generation environment without interrupting an operation of the network management system (see abstract; col.1, lines 8-10; col.3, lines 50-57; col.5, lines 40-45; col.7, line 56 to col.8, line 4; can col.11, line 64 to col.14, line 4).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the teachings of Ismael within the system of Yata by implementing external meta files to update objects without interrupting network management system operation because Yata discusses the basic requirements are resource and duration should be as small and as short as possible (performance) (see Yata: pg.838, first column, lines 35-36), and clearly with the improvement taught by Ismael, not only is performance greatly improved by negating the time lost by closing application programs and then restarting the operating system, but allowing sharing of same services by a plurality of disparate environments provides scalability to the system (see abstract: "Management services can be loaded or plugged into the framework

Art Unit: 2155

dynamically. As a result a management structure can be provided which is scalable and dynamic and can evolve as requirements change"). Furthermore, Yata teaches that since shared management is needed due to the fact that each managed object is independent of the agent system, "a managed object location transparency mechanism was adopted" (see pg.840, section 3.3.4. Managed object location transparency).

As per claim 8, Yata teaches of a network management method comprising: (a) storing a dynamic class loading routine in a management system kernel (see pg.839, section 2.2.3. Data Bases Access (DBA) API); (b) initializing a managed system by constructing a managed object framework of the management system kernel that contains information of managed object (MO) classes (see pg.838-839, section 2.1.2. GDMO Translator); (c) creating MO instances and registering the MO instances in a containment tree of the management system kernel according to the information of MO classes (see pg.839, section 2.1.3. Common Management Information Editor); (d) checking whether a dynamic class loading flag is on (Note: it is inherent when Yada teaches of "describing new definitions", "newly defined managed objects" or loading the managed objects from a plurality of databases, there is a trigger mechanism to inform the system whether the definition exists internally or must be retrieved externally and a subsequent reset mechanism), when receiving a management operation request from a management system (see pg.839, section 2.1.3. Common Management Information Editor: "debugger for application programs within the OpS... handles attribute classes generated by the GDMO translator"); and (e) updating MO information on the

Art Unit: 2155

management system kernel (see pg.838, Fig.1 and pg.839 section 2.2. TNMS Kernel: "is a software library that realizes the functions needed for transport network OpS application... The structure allows represent calling and called relationships between library modules.").

Yata does not explicitly teach of updating the MO information without interrupting an operation of the network management system by, waiting for all threads to complete execution, loading a dynamic library to the managed object framework utilizing the dynamic class loading routine when the dynamic class loading flag is on, and resetting the dynamic class loading flag to off. Ismael teaches of updating the MO information without interrupting an operation of the network management system (see abstract; col.1, lines 8-10; col.3, lines 50-57; col.5, lines 40-45; col.7, line 56 to col.8, line 4; can col.11, line 64 to col.14, line 4) by waiting for all threads to complete execution, loading a dynamic library to the managed object framework utilizing the dynamic class loading routine (see col.13, lines 41-50) when the dynamic class loading flag is on (inherent: see Note above), and resetting the dynamic class loading flag to off (inherent: see Note above).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the teachings of Ismael within the system of Yata by implementing external meta files to update objects without interrupting network management system operation because Yata discusses the basic requirements are resource and duration should be as small and as short as possible (performance) (see

Page 6

Art Unit: 2155

Yata: pg.838, first column, lines 35-36), and clearly with the improvement taught by Ismael, not only is performance greatly improved by negating the time lost by closing application programs and then restarting the operating system, but allowing sharing of same services by a plurality of disparate environments provides scalability to the system (see abstract: "Management services can be loaded or plugged into the framework dynamically. As a result a management structure can be provided which is scalable and dynamic and can evolve as requirements change"). Furthermore, Yata teaches that since shared management is needed due to the fact that each managed object is independent of the agent system, "a managed object location transparency mechanism was adopted" (see pg.840, section 3.3.4. Managed object location transparency).

As per claim 15, Yata teaches a network management method, comprising: storing a dynamic class loading routine in a management system kernel of the managed system (see pg.839, section 2.2.3. Data Bases Access (DBA) API); updating the management system kernel by modifying managed object (MO) information in the management system kernel by utilizing the dynamic class loading routine (see pg.838, Fig.1 and pg.839 section 2.2. TNMS Kernel: "is a software library that realizes the functions needed for transport network OpS application... The structure allows represent calling and called relationships between library modules."); and generating the MO information to be modified (see pg.839, section 2.1.3. Common Management Information Editor).

Art Unit: 2155

Yata does not explicitly teach of generating a external meta file (EMM) in a managed object generation environment of the managed system wherein the dynamic class loading routine opens the EMM file to modify the MO information in the management system kernel (see col.8, lines 48-55; col.9, lines 34-38; col.17, lines 57-59; and col.18, lines 18-49).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the teachings of Ismael within the system of Yata by implementing generating a external meta file (EMM) in a managed object generation environment of the managed system wherein the dynamic class loading routine opens the EMM file to modify the MO information in the management system kernel because Yata teaches that since shared management is needed due to the fact that each managed object is independent of the agent system, "a managed object location transparency mechanism was adopted" (see pg.840, section 3.3.4. Managed object location transparency), therefore, since Ismael teaches of sharing objects (see col.2, lines 45-47), one of ordinary skill in the art would employ the transparency mechanism of Ismael. Furthermore, Yata teaches, "behavior clauses of each managed object class definition were extended by adding C++ programs using TNMS Kernel's libraries" (see Yata: pg.841, lines 13-15).

#### Dependent:

As per claim 2, Yata further teaches wherein the management system kernel comprises: a communication module that provides communication with a network

Page 7

manager (see); a managed object framework that maintains information on MO classes (see pg.838-839, section 2.1.2. GDMO Translator); a kernel that stores a dynamic class loading module and initializes the network management system (see pg.839, section 2.2. TNMS Kernel), wherein said kernel establishes an association with other management systems through the communication module (see pg.839, section 2.2. TNMS Kernel and pg.839, section 2.2.3. Data Bases Access (DBA) API), performs management operations on MOs, adds the MO information in the managed object framework using the dynamic class loading module and modifies the MO information in the managed object framework using the dynamic class loading module (see pg.839, section 2.2.4. MIB API); and a containment that organizes MO instances according to the information on MO classes and allows access to the MO instances when a management operation is performed in the network managed system (see pg.839, first column, lines 1-3 and lines 5-9).

As per claim 3, Yata further teaches wherein managed object framework maintains information on MO classes (see pg.838, section 2.1.1. GDMO Editor and pg.839, first column, lines 1-3 & 7-9) by registering MO class codes on a class information table (inherency).

As per claim 4, Yata further teaches wherein the kernel creates at least one dedicated agent to perform subsequent management operations from management systems with which an association has been established (see pg.839, lines 8-11).

Art Unit: 2155

As per claim 5, Yata further teaches wherein the managed object generation environment comprises: a MO compiler that compiles a MO script to generate the EMM file and MO class codes (see pg.838-839, section 2.1.2. GDMO Translator); and a dynamic library storing the MO class codes (see pg.838, Fig.1 and pg.839 section 2.2. TNMS Kernel: "is a software library that realizes the functions needed for transport network OpS application... The structure allows represent calling and called relationships between library modules.").

As per claim 6, Yata further teaches wherein the EMM file includes MO class definition described in the MO script (see pg.839, lines 7-9), and identifies a location and name in the dynamic library of a corresponding MO class (inherent).

As per claims 7, Although Yata further teaches wherein the MO class codes are compiled and stored in dynamic library, he does not explicitly teach that the library is a dynamic link library. However, since Yata further teaches, "application program developers can freely choose the type of DBMS based on the environment", it is inherent that MO class codes are compiled and stored in DLL's if the operating system environment was one of SUN, Microsoft, or RISC. Furthermore, Yata teaches of API's (see pg.839) and API's are well known in the art to employ DLL's.

As per claim 9, Yata further teaches (f) performing the requested management operation and sending a management operation result to the management system requesting the management operation (see pg.840, lines 13-14) when the dynamic class loading flag is not on (see claim 8 rejection above).

Application/Control Number: 09/395,207 Page 10

Art Unit: 2155

As per claim 10, Yata and Ismael further teach wherein dynamic class loading routine of (e) comprises: opening an EMM file stored outside the management system kernel (see); and loading the dynamic library indicated by the EMM file (see claim 1 rejection above).

As per claim 11, Yata teaches of further comprising storing information about the management system requesting a management operation (see pg.838, Fig.1: "Existing GDMO Definitions" and pg.839, section 2.2.3. Data Base Access (DBA) API).

As per claim 12, Yata does not explicitly teaches of further comprising checking whether an additional thread can be created; creating a dedicated agent to take charge of subsequent management operations from the management system requesting an association if an additional thread can be created; and executing the dedicated agent thread and delivering association and management operation information to the dedicated agent to be utilized in interacting with the management system. Ismael teaches of checking whether an additional thread can be created (see col.5, lines 40-45); creating a dedicated agent to take charge of subsequent management operations from the management system requesting an association if an additional thread can be created (see col.5, line 65 to col.6, line 2); and executing the dedicated agent thread and delivering association and management operation information to the dedicated agent to be utilized in interacting with the management system (see col.6, lines 15-29).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the teachings of Ismael within the system of Yata by

threads with dedicated "extensible" agents.

Art Unit: 2155

implementing creation of threads and assigning agents to threads within the network management system because Yata teaches of an Event Handling API that "controls multiple execution threads and invokes an event handling module on a newly created thread" (see pg.839, first column, lines 19-20) and that the thread scheme "realizes multiple managed object access in different agent systems" (see pg.839, second column, lines 13-15), therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would employ multiple

As per claim 13, Yata further teaches wherein the dynamic class loading flag is set on when the management system requesting a management operation invokes the dynamic class loading function to perform one of adding and modifying MO information in the management system kernel (see claim 8 rejection above).

As per claim 14, Yata further teaches wherein the management system requesting the management operation invokes the dynamic class loading function by sending a control signal (inherent).

As per claim 17, Yata and Ismael further teach wherein the MO information to be modified is stored in the managed object generation environment in the form of a dynamic link library (see claim 7 rejection above).

3. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yata et al. ("ATM Transport Network Operation System Based on Object Oriented

Page 11

Art Unit: 2155

Technologies", NTT Transmission Systems Laboratories, IEEE 1994 pgs.838-842) and Ismael et al. (US 6134581 A), and further in view of Draaijer et al (US 5987463 A).

As per claim 18, Yata and Ismael teaches all the limitation including wherein the MO information is modified in the management system kernel (see pg.841, lines 12-15). Yata and Ismael do not explicitly teach wherein the EMM indicates an address of a dynamic link library corresponding to the MO information to be modified. Draaijer teach wherein the EMM indicates an address of a dynamic link library corresponding to the MO information (see col.10, lines 19-27). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the teachings of Draaijer within the system of Yata and Ismael by implementing meta data to address corresponding MO dll's within the network management system because Draaijer teaches that external procedures from external databases (as taught by Yata) cannot describe themselves and therefore must be dynamically "linked in" (see col.10, lines 28-34).

# Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to Okamura et al. (US 6636964 B1) as being invalid prior art have been considered and are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Page 12

Art Unit: 2155

Page 13

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Y Won whose telephone number is 703-605-4241. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th: 6AM-3PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain T Alam can be reached on 703-308-6662. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Young Won

PRIMARY EXAMINER

June 16, 2004